C54-TiSi, fabricated by direct ion beam synthesis

D.H.Zhu, H.B.Lu, F.Pan and B.X.Liu

Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, CHINA.

High current Ti ion implantation using a metal vapor vacuum arc (MEVVA) ion source was employed to fabricate the TiSi, in silicon wafers. Implantation was conducted by 80 keV Ti ions to a dose of 5×10^{11} Ti/cm² with various ion current densities from 50 to 125 µA/cm². It was found that when the ion current density exceeded 100 µA/cm², the equilibrium TiSi, of C54 structure was uniquely formed with a layer thickness of 80-100 nm. Sheet resistance measurement provided an additional evidence of the formation of the C54-TiSi₂, as the resistance was below $30.0/\Box$. The formation mechanism of the TiSi₂ was attributed to the simultaneous thermal annealing caused by beam heating during implantation.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the last twenty years. the formation of various metal-silicides has been extensively studied by a variety of techniques, such as solid state reaction of thin metal films on single-crystalline silicon substrates upon thermal annealing[1], ion beam mixing[2][3] etc, metal-silicides ag the are potential candidate materials in microelectronic the devices. Among silicide-forming metals, titanium has special significance because its disilicide C54-TiSi,, which has the lowest resistivity and good thermal stability, is an attractive alternate material to be used as the gate electrode and ohmic contact in the submicron silicon device technology. In this study, ion implantation using a MEVVA ion source[4] was employed to investigate the possibility of forming the equilibrium C54-TiSi, layer on silicon wafer, as the MEVVA source can easily provide Ti ions with high current density, which can cause an in-situ thermal heating to induce a direct formation of TiSi, without post-annealing. The details of the experimental results discussion of the formation and the mechanism are presented in this paper.

2. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

The Si wafers were p-type Si(111) with 8-13 Ω cm. The 1x1 cm⁴ samples were cleaned by a standard chemical procedure and then mounted on a holder in a implanter equipped with a MEVVA source. The implantation voltage was 40 kV (the energy of the Ti^{*4} ions was then 80 keV), and the ion dose was $5x_1^{0}0^{17}$ Ti/cm², (consisting of 6% Ti², 82% and 12% Ti⁴). The ion current Tit density was varied from 25 to 125 µA/cm¹. During implantation, the samples were tilted at 7⁶ to avoid channeling Rutherford backscattering effect spectrometry (RBS), X-ray diffraction (XRD) and four-point probe resistivity measurement were employed to identify the resulted microstructure in Si.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figure 1 is an XRD pattern of a sample implanted with a Ti ion current density of 125 μ A/cm² to a dose of 5x10¹¹ Ti/cm⁴. To identify the observed phase, the interplanar spacings of the sample and the reported Ti-silicides (including C54-TiSi, C49-TiSi, TiSi, and Ti₅Si₂) are listed in Table I for comparison.



Figure 1. X-ray diffraction pattern of a sample implanted with Ti ions of 125 μ A/cm² to a dose of 5x10¹¹ Ti/cm².

Table I. The interplanar spacings(nm) of the listed Ti-silicides and the observed for a sample implanted with 125 μ A/cm² to a dose of 5x10¹¹ Ti/cm².

Observed	TiSi ₂ (C54) ^a	TiSi ₂ (C49) ^b	Ti Sij ^c	TiSt
0.3732	0.3729(111)	a Sharan angan <u>angan</u> ganna Yikisko dinah ungu a		
0.2960	0.2970(202)	0.284(130)	0.3015(111)	
0.2297	0.2300(113)	0.229(060)	0.2388(012)	0.2335(102)
0.2135	0.2136(004)	0.223(131)	0.2202(121)	0.2189(211)
0.2088	0.2092(022)	0.219(150)	0.2149(030)	0.2000(301)
0.1828	0.1831 (313)	0.181(200)	0.1861(220)	0.1823(020)

a. JCPDS 33-1384 b. JCPDS 10-225 c. JCPDS 29-1362 d. JCPDS 17-424

Apparently, the observed diffraction peaks can well be identified as (111), (202), (113), (004), (022) and (313) of the C54-TiSi. A corresponding RBS spectrum shown in Figure 2 demonstrates that a TiSi, layer with a thickness of 80 nm was formed. The sheet resistance as a function of ion current density is shown in Table II and Figure 3. One sees from the figure that when the current density reached 100 μ A/cm², the sheet resistance of the as-implanted sample was below $3.0 \Omega/\Box$. Increasing the ion current density to 125 μ A/cm², the sheet resistance was 2.4 Ω / \Box . The resistivities of these two



Figure 2. RBS spectrum of a sample implanted with 125 µA/cm to 5x10¹⁷ Ti/cm⁴.

TABLE IL Change of sheet resistance as a function of ion current density.

Current density (µA/cm²)	Sheet resistance (Ω / \Box)	
25	 65 <u>+</u> 1	
50	60+1	
75	46+1	
100	3.0+0.1	
125	2.5+0.1	

samples were calculated to be 36 and 20 $\mu\Omega$ cm, which fall in a resistivity range of the equilibrium C54-TiSi, phase[5].

From the above results provided by XRD, RBS and sheet resistance analyses, it is concluded that the resultant Tisilicide was indeed of the equilibrium C54-TiSi, An important factor responsible to the formation of C54-TiSi, by MEVVA ion implantation technique was regarded to the simultaneous thermal annealing during implantation. According to Wittkower and Hirvonen[6],



Figure 3. Dependence of sheet resistance as a function of ion current density on the samples implanted with Ti ions to a dose of 5×10^{11} Ti/cm².

the temperature rise (the time reached this temperature) of the Si wafers during implantation was evaluated to be 280°C(108 min), 400°C(54 min), 480°C(36 min), 560°C(27 min), 630°C(22 min), under the corresponding ion current , densities of 25, 50, 75, 100, 125 μ A/cm², with a fixed dose of $5x10^{11}$ Ti/cm². Apparently, the implantation process was not only to provide sufficient Ti atoms, but also accompanied by a thermal annealing caused by beam heating. The temperature required for forming the C54-TiSi. reported to phase was be about $500^{\circ}C[7]$, which meant that the high Ti ion current density beyond 100 µA/cm⁴ assured a simultaneous thermal annealing at a high enough temperature to form the equilibrium C54-TiSi. It has been reported that some other Ti-silicides nucleated before the formation of C54-TiSi,[5][7-10] under solid-state reaction. However, in all our implanted samples. none of those Ti-silicides were detected It is believed that this bv XRD. phenomenon has to do with the growth kinetics of the Ti-silicides. In principle, all the other Ti-silicides will transform into the equilibrium Ti-silicide, if the Si supply is unlimited and the temperature and time are favored for the formation of C54-TiSi. In our experimental conditions. Si atoms drawn from the Si wafer were always unlimited. For two cases of Ti implantation with the beam current densities of 100 and 125 μ A/cm⁴, simultaneous the thermal annealing temperatures and times are both favored to the C54-TiSi, formation according to the above calculation. While for the samples implanted by lower current densities, no visible x-ray diffraction lines of any Ti-silicide were observed, indicating that no titanium silicide, at least no big enough grains of titanium silicide, had been formed.

4. CONCLUSION

C54-TiSi, with low resistivity was formed in the as-implanted samples by direct Ti ion implantation using high current MEVVA ion source, under an extract voltage of 40kV, a dose of 5×10^{11} Ti/cm², and a current density exceeding 100 µA/cm². The satisfaction of a kinetic condition for C54-TiSi, phase to nucleate and grow was resulted by a in-situ thermal annealing process caused by high current beam heating effect. It was expected that the other metal-silicides of interest could also be fabricated by the similar implantation technique. In fact, the equilibrium WSi, the a-FeSi, and β -FeSi, phases were formed by this research group upon relative metal ion implantation using MEVVA ion source, and the results will soon be published.

This study was supported partly by the National Natural Science Foundation of China. The authors gratefully acknowledge Mr. X.J. Zhang of Beijing Normal University and the researchers Institute of Materials Research of Tsinghua University for their kind helps.

REFERENCES

- 1. S.P.Murarka, Silicides for VLSI Application [Academic, New York (1983)]
- 2. K.I. Wang, F. Bacon, and R.F. Reihl, J. Vac. Sci. Technol. 16, 130 (1979)
- F.M.d'Heurle, C.S. Peterson, and M.Y.Tsai, J.Appl. Phys. 51, 5976 (1980)
- 4. I.G.Brown, J.E.Gavin, and R.A.MaGill, Appl. Phys. Lett. 47, 358 (1985)
- 5. R.Beyers and R.Sinclair, J. Appl. Phys. 57, 5240 (1985)
- 6. A.Wittkower and J.K.Hirvonen, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. Phys. Res. B6,78 (1985)
- L.S.Hung, J.Gylai, and J.W.Mayer, J.Appl.Phys. 54, 5076 (1983)
- L.J.M.M. Raaijmakers and K.B. Kim, J. Appl. Phys. 67, 6255 (1990)
- 9. M.H. Wang and L.J. Chen, Appl. Phys. Lett. 58, 463 (1991)
- M.H. Wang and L.J. Chen, J. Appl. Phys. 71, 5918 (1992)