The simulation of large deformation of Gels by Stress-Diffusion Coupled Model

Tatsuya Yamaue, Takashi Taniguchi* and Masao Doi* Japan Chemical Innovation Institute, Research and Education Center 1-4F, Nagoya University, Nagoya 464-8601, Japan

Fax: 81-52-789-5831, e-mail: yamaue@zoom.cse.nagoya-u.ac.jp

*Department of Computational Science and Enginnering, Nagoya University,

Nagoya 464-8603, Japan

We have formulated the dynamics and the simulation scheme for large deformation of Stress-Diffusion Coupled Model. Using this model. gels using the we have simulated the shrinking process of 2D slab thermo-responsive gels undergoing spinodal decomposition, in comparison with the collective diffusion model of the gel network. "plateau period" due to the surface skin formation We reproduced the and the pattern formations in the inner region of gels by the Stress-Diffusion Coupled Model. Key words: shrinking patterns, plateau period, the stress-diffusion coupled model, gels

1. INTRODUCTION

volume-The dynamics of the phase transition process of gels has been described by the collective diffusion model the gel network.[1] which of well reproduces the swelling process. But, it was found experimentally that the shrinking process is stron gly decelerated and stopped transiently in a "plateau period" due to the formation of surface skin. In the end of the "plateau period", various patterns appear and the gels restart to shrink.[2,3] These phenome haven't na been reproduced theoretically. Recently, the Stres s-Diffusion Coupled Model of gels has been constructed.[4,5] In which, the continuity of solvent and the coupling between solvent diffusion and detwork stress are considered. Here, we formulate the dynamics and the simulation scheme for large deformation of gels using the Stress-Diffusion Coupled model Model. Using this we simulate the shrinking process of 2D slab undergoing spinodal decomposition gels element method bv finite with triangulation in comparison delaunay the with collective diffusion model of the gel network.

2. MODEL

We use the Ginzburg-Landau free energy F and stress tensor Π_{ij} including an elastic energy term:

$$F = \frac{k_B T}{v_1 f} \int dx [f(\phi) + \frac{1}{2} v_0 \frac{\phi}{\phi_0} (tr \underline{W} + 2\ln \frac{\phi}{\phi_0})]$$
(1)
$$\Pi_{ij} = [\phi \frac{\partial f}{\partial \phi} - f(\phi)] \delta_{ij} - v_0 \frac{\phi}{\phi_0} (W_{ij} - \delta_{ij})$$
(2)

Here, W_{ii} is the finger strain tensor, defined

 $W_{ii} = dx_i / dx_{ok} \cdot dx_i / dx_{0k}.$ x_0 by denote the cartesian coordinates in the relaxed state, v_{0} the crosslink number density in the relaxed state, Ø volume fraction. the network ϕ_0 the network volume fraction in the relaxed state and V_1 a volume of one monomer. Volume fraction of the polymer network is related to the finger strain tensor, $\phi = \phi_0 (\det W)^{-1/2}$.

As following, we describe the dynamics of gels by the Stress-Diffusion Coupled Model,[4] derived from the two fluids model.

The solvent velocity related to the polymer-netw ork velocity in gels is proportional to the gradient of the solvent pressure $\vec{p(x,t)}$ from the Darcy's law:

$$\vec{v}_s - \vec{v}_p = -\gamma^{-1} \vec{\nabla} p. \qquad (3)$$

Here, $\vec{v}_s(\vec{x},t)$ is the solvent velocity, $\vec{v}_p(\vec{x},t)$ the polymer-network velocity, $\gamma(\phi)$ a polymer-solvent friction constant. The volume fraction dependency of a polymer-solvent friction constant is $\gamma(\phi) \approx 6\pi\eta_s \xi_b^{-2} \propto \phi^{2\nu/(3\nu-1)}$ from the blob model. Here, η_s denotes the viscosity of solvent and ξ_b the blob size, and the exponent Vequals to 1/2 for Θ -solvent, 3/5 for good solvent. The pressure of the solvent is decided by the balance with the stress of polymer network:

$$\nabla_{i} p = -\nabla_{j} \Pi_{ij}. \tag{4}$$

The conservation law and and the incompressibility condition are

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} = -\vec{\nabla} \cdot (\vec{\phi v_p}), \qquad (5)$$

$$\vec{\nabla} \cdot (\vec{\phi v_p} + (1 - \phi)\vec{v_s}) = 0.$$
 (6)

These closed

equations describe the Stress-Diffusion Coupled Model.

We reduce these equations and formulate the si mulation scheme for deformation of gels. time-evolution following. The as volume fraction Ø of polymer network is calculated of the by the following continuity equation:

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} = \nabla_i L(\phi) \nabla_j \Pi_{ji} \tag{7}$$

where $L(\phi) \approx \phi / \gamma$ is the isotropic Onsager coefficient.

The deformation of the network at each time is determined by the elastic force balance while keeping a local volume fraction of gel in the moment, since the elastic force balance are satisfied instantaneously,

on the other hand the diffusion process is so slow. This procedure for the elastic force balance is re alized

by minimizing the following elastic free energy F' with the constraint term:

$$F'(\vec{x}) = F(\vec{x}) + \int d^d x \alpha \{ \phi'(\vec{x}) - \phi(\vec{x}_0, t) \}^2$$
 (8)

Here, ϕ' denotes the virtual volume fraction of the polymer network for the elastic force balan ce calculation process. The second term of the rig ht hand side of F' is the constraint term for keeping a local volume fraction $\vec{\phi(x_0,t)}$ of gel, when the coefficient α is large.

3. SIMULATION AND RESULTS

We have used the following function:

$$f(\phi) = -\frac{3}{2}(\phi - \frac{1}{2}) - \frac{9}{2}(\phi - \frac{1}{2})^2 + \frac{81}{4}(\phi - \frac{1}{2})^4 (9)$$

for the Ginzburg-Landau mixing free energy, and carried out

simulations for various crosslink number densities in the spinodal region $(V_0 = 0.05, 0.1, 0.5, 1.0)$ and

in the bulk unstable region ($V_0 = 1.5, 2.0$), as seen from Fig.1. In all simulation performed here, the initial volume fraction is $\phi_0 = 0.5$ and the volume fraction dependency of a polymer-solvent friction constant is $\gamma(\phi) \propto \phi^2$ for Θ -solvent.

The unit of length and time is the width of one cycle L_0 and $\tau_0 = \gamma L_0^2 v_1 / k_B T$.



Fig.1. Phase diagram and the liner analysis of instability. We simulate in bulk unstable region $(v_0 = 1.5, 2.0)$ and spinodal region $(v_0 = 0.05, 0.1, 0.5, 1.0)$.



Fig.2 Thickness of gels as function a time for various crosslink of number densities in the collective diffusion model of gel network. (a) The profile of the time dependency of thickne ss doesn't show "plateau period". (upper figure) The (h) logarithmic scale show the slope of the time dependency of th ickness equals to 0.5, the characteristic diffusion exponent. (lower figure)

As seen from Fig.2, in the collective diffusion model

of the network, the slope of the time dependency of the thickness equals to 0.5, which is the characteristic diffusion exponent (Fig.2(b)). In the spinodal region in the collective diffusion of

gel network the bamboo-like shrinking patterns a ppear due to phase separations into swollen and shrunken states, as seen in Fig.3, but there is no "plateau period" and "surface skin" formation. (Fig.2(a))



Fig.3 The shrinking patterns in the collective diff usion model of gel network. In the spinodal region the "bamboo-l ike" shrinking patterns appear due to phase separations into swollen and shrunken states.

As seen from Fig.4, when the gels are soft in the spinodal region in the stress-diffusion coupled model

 $(V_0 = 0.05, 0.1),$ the "plateau period" appears (Fig.4(a))and the slope of the time dependency of the thick ness is larger than 0.5, the characteristic diffusion exponent, both in the early and in the late stage except the "plateau period" and, (Fig.4(b))as seen in Fig.5, high volume fraction regions ("surface s kin")

at the surface appears in the early stage of the shr inking process and then the "plateau period" starts. During the "plateau period", the instability grows and the "bubble-like" inner patterns due to the phase separation appear. After finishing the formation of inner patterns of gels, the gels restart to shrink.



of gels Fig.4 Thickness function as a of time for various crosslink number densities in the stress-diffusion coupled model. (a) The profiles of the time dependency of thickn ess, some of which show the "plateau period" (between about t=20 and 40) in the soft cases of the spinodal region ($V_0 = 0.05, 0.1$). (unner figure) (b) The logarithmic scale show the slope of the time dependency of th ickness is larger than 0.5, the characteristic exponent, in the cases diffusion which exhibit the "plateau period". (lower figure)

As seen from Fig.4(a), when the gels are hard in the spinodal region $(V_0 = 0.5, 1.0)$, there is no "plateau period", phase but the separation we can see shot time, during the which disappears in the late stage of the shrinking proce ss, as seen in Fig.5. Therefore, the relation of the spinodal region to the "plateau period" may be depends on the size of gels.

When the gels are out of the spinodal region $(V_0 = 1.5, 2.0)$, the profiles of the shrinking process are the same as the cases in the collective diffusion model of gel network, as seen in Fig.4(a). In this region, the shrinking processes show the liner phenomena and the gels shrink uniformly, as seen in Fig.5. Therefore, the "plateau period" is related to the non-liner phenomena such as phase separations.



Fig.5 The shrinking patterns in the stressdiffusion coupled model. In the soft the spinodal cases in region $(V_0 = 0.05, 0.1),$ the period" "plateau appears during t = 2040. During the "plateau period", the instability gr "bubble-like" and ows the inner patterns due to the phase separation appear. After finishing the formation of inner patterns of gels, the gels restart to shrink. Out the spinodal of region $(V_0 = 1.5, 2.0)$, the shrinking processes show t he liner phenomena uniformly, gels shrink and the that is the same as the cases in the collective diffusion model of gel network.

4. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

We have reproduced the "plateau period" in the shrinking process of the thermo-responsive gels. During the "plateau period", the instability and "bubble-like" grows the inner patterns due to the phase separation appear. After finishing the formation of patterns inner of gels, the gels restart to shrink. Those results nicel reproduce phenomena the V which were experimentally observed.

But even spinodal in the region, when the gels are hard, there is no "plateau perio d", whereas we can see the phase separation during the shot time. We must confirm the reason of the condition of "plateau period" formation, which is maybe related to the condition of the phase separation, the size effect of gels and the velocity of the solvent diffusion the surface at region of gels in the early stage of the shrinking process.

In the liner shrinking process, in which

gels shrink uniformly, the results of the collective diffusion model of gel network and the stressdiffusion coupled model equal. That means that the stress-diffusion coupled model. which can describe the actual solvent diffusion of gels, reproduce the non-liner can shrinking phenomena, which related to the constraint phenomena of the solvent diffusion.

We conclude that the stress-diffusion coupled model of gels is nicely expanded model of the collective diffusion model of gel network, and lots of non-liner phenomena

in the volume-phase transition process of gels will can be reproduced by the progress of the stressdiffusion coupled model of gels.

5. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work is supported by the national project, which has been entrusted to the Japan Chemical Innovation Instit ute (JCII) by the New Energy and Industrial Technology De velopment Organization (NEDO) under MITI's Program for the Scientific Technology De velopment for Industries that Creates New Industr ies.

6. REFERENCES

- [1] T.Tanaka and D.J.Fillmore, J. Chem. Phys.70 (1979), 1214.
- [2] E.S.Sato and T.Tanaka, NATURE 358 (1992), 482.
- [3] H.Hirose and M.Shibayama,
- Macromolecules 31 (1998), 5336. [4] M.Doi,
 - Dynamics and Patterns in Complex Fluids, A.Onuki, K.Kawasaki Eds, Springer (1999), 100.
- [5] A.Onuki and S.Puri, Phys.Rev.E59 (1999), R1331.

(Received December 17, 1999; Accepted March 31, 2000)