Enhanced Optical Sensing of Biological Important Anions with Functionalized Gold Nanoparticles

Shigeru Watanabe^{1,2*}, Takashi Nakamura¹, Yuki Tazume¹, Hideki Seguchi¹, Katsuhira Yoshida¹

¹Department of Material Science, Faculty of Science, Kochi University, Kochi 780-8520, Japan

Fax: 81-88-844-8301, e-mail: watanabe@cc.kochi-u.ac.jp

²CREST, JST, 4-1-8 Honmachi, Kawaguchi, Saitama 332-0012, Japan

Gold nanoparticles surface-modified with amide ligands optically sense biologically important anions with the high sensitivity: the detection limit is increased about three orders of magnitude higher than that originally expected from the anion binding ability of neutral amide ligands. Key words: nanoparticle, optical sensing, anion, molecular recognition

INTRODUCTION

Gold and silver nanoparticles have been of increasing interest in applications to biological and chemical nanosensors. In recent years, optical¹⁻³ and electrochemical^{4,5} nanoprobes have been developed by modifying the surface of metal nanoparticles with various functional molecules. Gold nanoprobes capable of optically sensing biological macromolecules such as DNA have been extensively studied.¹ However, there are few nanoparticle-type optical probes for biologically important ions and molecules. gold As nanoparticles have the intense plasmon band in the visible region,⁶ they must have considerable potential for optical detection of ions and molecules as well as macromolecules. reported that the amide-functionalized We gold nanoparticle 1b optically senses anions with significantly high sensitivity.⁷ In this study, we have synthesized a new nanoparticle **1a** surface-modified with amide ligands containing a short alkyl chain. The optical sensing ability of 1a has been explored in comparison with that of 1b.

EXPERIMENTAL

UV-vis titration: A 2×10^{-8} M solution of 1 in CH₂Cl₂ was prepared, and a 2-ml potion was transferred to a 1-cm UV cell. A 1.5×10^{-4} M solution of anions in CH₂Cl₂ was made using the stock solution of 1, and small aliquots were added to the solution of 1. The absorbance





changes of 1 at 520 nm were monitored as a function of anion concentration.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The thiol-ligand syntheses are shown in Scheme 1. The surface-functionalized gold nanoparticle 1a was prepared through a similar synthetic route of $1b^7$. The exchange reactions of hexanetiolategold nanoparticles8 with protected *n*-BuNHCO(CH₂)₅SH in CH₂Cl₂ for 24 h were carried out for the 1:1 mole ratio of n-BuNHCO(CH₂)₅SH to CH₃(CH₂)₅S-Au. After purification via sequential precipitation, the gold nanoparticle 1a was characterized by elemental analysis, powder X-ray diffraction (XRD), and UV-vis spectroscopies. The mean diameters of the gold core determined by XRD were 4.2±0.5 nm for 1a. Assuming a truncated octahedral shape⁹ predicts that the core of 1a contain 2556 Au atoms. Given the values for elemental analysis of 1a (C: 6.70%; H: 0.74%; N: 0.63%), there are 69 C₆H₁₃S-Au and 260 BuNHCO(CH₂)₅S-Au ligands. The CH_2Cl_2 solution of **1a** shows wine-red color being characteristic of the plasmon band at 520 nm (ϵ = 4.74 × 10⁶ M⁻¹cm⁻¹).

The anion sensing properties of 1a was investigated by UV-vis titrations with $H_2PO_4^{-}$, HSO_4^{-} , AcO^{-} , NO_3^{-} , Cl^{-} , Br^{-} , and I^{-} . The addition of anions (as their Bu_4N^+ salts) to a solution of 1a in CH_2Cl_2 at 20°C caused the aggregation of 1a



Figure 2. Change in the UV-visible spectrum of Au nanoparticle 1 upon addition of $H_2PO_4^-$ in CH₂Cl₂ at 293K: [1a] = 2.28×10^{-8} M, [H₂PO₄⁻] = $0.5.86 \times 10^{-5}$ M.

leading to dramatic changes in the plasmon band. When 0 -0.2 eauiv of anion/BuNHCO(CH₂)₅S-Au was added to the solution of 1a ([1a] = 2.28×10^{-8} M), the plasmon band decreased by 7-25% in intensity with a slight shift in wavelength (Figure 2a). The decrease in extinction is due to the screening of nanoparticles embedded deeply within the aggregate interior resulted in the reduction of the plasmon band.¹⁰ Increasing anion fraction would to the lead disaggregation of the suprananoparticles composed of 1a and anions because of Coulombic repulsions between the negatively charged nanoparticles by the anion binding. In fact, further addition of anions to the solution of 1a caused an increase in the intensity (Figure 2b). In particular, the addition of excess amount of H_2PO_4 increased the extinction intensity of 1a solution more than that of original solution. This might reflect that a part of the nanoparticles 1a already aggregated before adding the anions. As shown in Figure 3, the nanoparticle 1a showed much less spectral changes than 1b did. The amide groups of 1a are placed near the surface of hexanethiolate monolayer. Upon the binding of anions, it is difficult for them to form the ideal complex leading to the aggregations of 1a because of steric hindrance between the terminal butyl group and the hexanethiolate monolayer. The anion-induced aggregates of the nanoparticle 1a would be smaller than those of 1b. Lazarides and Schatz showed using coupled dipole approximations that a dramatic red shift occurs in extinction wavelength when the interparticle distances in the aggregates decrease to less than the average particle radius.¹¹ Neither of the nanoparticles 1a and 1b showed the significant red shift of the plasmon band. Nanoparticles 1a and 1b within the anion-induced aggregates might not be close enough to cause the color change. The UV-vis titration curves for anions in CH₂Cl₂ shows the absorption spectra were restored to the



Figure 3. Plots of anion-induced maximum decreasing ratio $(I_{\min} - I_0)/I_0$ inextinction of 1a (\bigcirc) and 1b (\times) in CH₂Cl₂ vs. free energies $\Delta G_{0,1}$ of hydrationin the gas phase for anions.

original state when excess of H₂PO₄, HSO₄, AcO⁻, Cl⁻, and NO₃⁻ was added to the solution. In contrast, the initial absorption intensity was not recovered even after addition of large excess of Br and I. There were also no spectral changes when these solutions were kept at 20°C for 24 h addition of 10 eauiv of after anion/BuNHCO(CH_2)_nS-Au (n = 5 and 10). The aggregates of 1 and these anions were tightly held together and were hardly disaggregate by the component anions themselves.

The associate constants for anions with neutral amide ligands are generally not so high $(K_a < 100)$ M⁻¹) even in low dielectric solvents.¹² Optical probes containing such amide ligands would have detectable response only in $>10^{-3}$ Μ а concentration of anions if one assumed that optical probes have a detectable response in the concentration range from approximately $0.1 \times K_d$ to $10 \times K_d$.¹³ Therefore, it is noted that the nanoparticle 1a is capable of optically sensing changes in anion concentration at a level of 10 M as well as 1b. The detection limit is increased about three orders of magnitude higher than originally expected. This effectiveness cannot be understood only by the cooperative action of the amide ligands assembled on the particle surface. Interparticle van der Waals attractions may play a key role, which are quite strong in particular between metal nanoparticles because of the large polarizability of the gold cores.¹⁴

In order to elucidate the fundamental features of binding selectivity, optical sensing studies between 1 and anions were further performed in CH₂Cl₂ containing DMSO as the competitive solvent. At 1% DMSO/CH₂Cl₂, the maximum decreasing ratio $\Delta I_{max} = (I_{min} - I_0)/I_0$ in absorption intensity of 1 upon anion binding was in the following order: Cl > H₂PO₄ > NO₃ > AcO > HSO₄. As the amide ligands were randomly introduced on the surface of 1, the binding selectivity should follow the ability of the guest anions to form hydrogen-bond to the amide ligands rather than their structural differences. The free energy of hydration $\Delta G^0_{0,1}$ (kcal/mol)¹⁵ in the gas phase for the anions were used as a



measure of hydrogen-bond acceptor strength of the guest anions although the pKa values of acid (HX) in aqueous solution generally are used as a measure of the hydrogen bond acceptor strength of the corresponding anions (X⁻).¹⁶ The nanoparticle 1b showed the high binding selectivity for HSO₄ and H₂PO₄ that work as the hydrogen bond donor as well as the hydrogen bond acceptor. While the polt of ΔI_{max} of 1a against $\Delta G^{o}_{0,1}$ of the guest anions shows a good correlation between them except for AcO⁻. The ΔI_{max} value for AcO was smaller than that expected from the relationship between the ΔI_{max} and the $\Delta G^{o}_{0,1}$. There are several binding geometries of the guest anions and the terminal amido groups. For the planer and bidentate AcO', some of them as shown in Scheme 2 are undesirable to induce the aggregates of 1a.

CONCLUTION

We demonstrated that the amide-functionalized gold nanoparticles 1a and 1b optically recognize the anions. They have distinct advantages in sensitivity. The detection limit is increased about three orders of magnitude higher than that originally expected from the anion binding ability of neutral amide ligands. Although further improvements of the selectivity and the binding affinity are required for practical use, gold nanoparticles are particularly easy to build up their highly functionalized surface through ligand exchange reactions. The surface-functionalized gold nanoparticles are considerably potential as optical nanoprobes of biologically important ions and molecules.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

S.Watanabe thanks the Ministry of Education, Science, Sports and Culture in Japan for financial support by a Grant-in-Aid for Exploratory Research. This work is partially supported by CREST, JST (Japan Science and Technology Corporation).

REFERENCES AND NOTES

[1] (a) C. A. Mirkin, R. L. Letsinger, R. C. Mucic and J. J. Storhoff, *Nature*, 382, 607-611(1996).
(b) R. Elghanian, J. J. Storhoff, R. C. Mucic, R. L. Letsinger and C. A. Mirkin, *Science*, 277, 1078-1081(1997). (c) J. J. Storhoff, R. Elghanian, R. C. Mucic, C. A. Mirkin and R. L. Letsinger, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 120, 1959-1964(1998). (d) L. M. Demers, C. A. Mirkin, R. C. Mucic, R. A. Reynolds, III, R. L. Letsinger, R. Elghanian and G. Viswanadham, Anal. Chem., 72, 5535-5541 (2000). (e) Y. Cao, R. Jin, and C. A. Mirkin, J. Am. Chem. Soc., **123**, 7961-7962 (2001). (f) T. A. Taton, G. Lu and C. A. Mirkin, J. Am. Chem. Soc.,

123, 5164-516(2001).
[2] N. Nath and A. Chilkoti, J. Am. Chem. Soc.,

123, 8197-8202(2001).

[3] K. G. Thomas and P. V. Kamat, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 122, 2655-2656(2000).

[4] (a) A. K. Boal and V. M. Rotello, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 121, 4914-4915(1999). (b) A. K. Boal and V. M. Rotello, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 122, 734-735(2000).

[5] (a) A. Labande and D. Astruc, Chem. Commun., 2000, 1007-1008. (b) A. Labande, J. Ruiz and D. Astruc, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 124, 1782-1789(2002).

[6] The molar absorptivity for the 8- and 13-nm-diameter particles was reported to be $7.5 \times 10^7 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}$ at 520 nm and $4.7 \times 10^9 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}$ at 524 nm, respectively: R. C. Mucic, J. J. Storhoff, C. A. Mirkin and R. L. Letsinger, J. Am. Chem. Soc., **120**, 12674-12675(1998).

[7] S. Watanabe, M. Sonobe, M. Arai, Y. Tazume, T. Matsuo, T. Nakamura and K. Yoshida, *Chem.Commun.*, 2866-2867(2002).

[8] (a) M. Brust, M. Walker, D. Bethell, D. J. Schiffrin and R. Whyman, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 801-802(1994). (b) M. J. Hostetler, J. E. Wingate, C.-J. Zhong, J. E. Harris, R. W. Vachet, M. R. Clark, J. D. Londono, S. J. Green, J. J. Stokes, G. D. Wignall, G. L. Glish, M. D. Porter, N. D. Evans and R. W. Murray, Langmuir, 14, 17-30(1998).

[9] R. L. Whetten, J. T. Khoury, M. M. Alvarez, S. Murthy, I. Vezmar, Z. L. Wang, P. W. Stephens, C. L. Cleveland, W. D. Luedtke and U. Landman, *Adv. Mater.*, **8**, 428-433(1996).

[10] (a) A. A. Lazarides and G. C. Schatz, J. Phys. Chem. B, 104, 460-467(2000). (b) J. J. Storhoff, A. A. Lazarides, R. C. Mucic, C. A. Mirkin, R. L. Letsinger and G. C. Schatz, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 122, 4640-4650(2000).

[11] A. A. Lazarides and G. C. Schatz, J. Chem. Phys., 112, 2987-2993(2000).

[12] (a) P. D. Beer, A. R. Graydon, A. O. M. Johnson and D. K. Smith, *Inorg.Chem.*, 36, 2112-2118(1997). (b) J. E. Kingston, L. Ashford, P. D. Beer and M. G. B. Drew, *J.Chem.Soc.*, *Dalton Trans.*, 251-257(1999). (c) P. D. Beer, P. K. Hopkins and J. D. McKinney, *Chem. Commun.*, 1253-1254(1999).

[13] R. P. Haugland, Handbook of Fluorescent Probes and Research Chemicals, 6th ed., Molecular Probes, Eugene, 1996.

[14] D. Bargeman and F. Van Voorst Vader, J. Electroanal. Chem., 37, 45-52(1972).

[15] (a) M. Arshadi, R. Yamdagni and P. Kebarle, J. Phys. Chem., 74, 1475-1482(1970).
(b) A. T. Blades, J. S. Klassen and P. Kebarle, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 117, 10563-10571(1995).

[16] P. Buhlmann, S. Nishizawa, K. P. Xiao and Y. Umezawa, Tetrahedron, 53, 1647-1654(1997)

(Received October 10, 2003; Accepted January 31, 2004)