Magnetism in $Na_{0.75}CoO_2$ Investigated by μSR

J. Sugiyama, H. Itahara, J. H. Brewer[1], E. J. Ansaldo[2],
T. Motohashi[3], M. Karppinen[3] and H. Yamauchi[3]

Toyota Central Research and Development Laboratories, Inc., Nagakute, Aichi 480-1192, Japan
Fax:81-561-63-6196, e-mail: e0589@mosk.tytlabs.co.jp
[1]TRIUMF and Canadian Institute for Advanced Research and Department of Physics and Astronomy,
University of British Columbia, Canada, Vancouver, BC, Canada V6T 1Z1
[2]TRIUMF, 4004 Wesbrook Mall, Vancouver, BC, Canada V6T 2A3
[3]Materials and Structures Laboratory, Tokyo Institute of Technology,
Nagatsuta, Midori-ku, Yokohama 226-8503, Japan

The magnetism of the thermoelectric cobaltite Na_xCoO_2 with $x=0.75$ and 0.65 was investigated by positive muon-spin-rotation and relaxation (μ^+SR) spectroscopy, using polycrystalline samples in the temperature range between 300 and 2.5 K. Zero field μ^+SR measurements on the Na-rich sample (*i.e.*, $Na_{0.75}CoO_2$) indicated the existence of a static internal magnetic field at temperatures below 22 K ($= T_m$). The observed muon$^+$ spin precession signal below T_m consisted of three components with different precession frequencies, corresponding to three inequivalent muon$^+$ sites in the $Na_{0.75}CoO_2$ lattice. The total volume fraction of the three components was estimated as ~21% at 2.5 K; thus, this magnetic transition was not induced by impurities but is an intrinsic change in the magnetism of the sample, although the sample was magnetically inhomogeneous otherwise. On the other hand, a similar experiment on the Na-poor sample (*i.e.*, $Na_{0.65}CoO_2$) sample exhibited no magnetic transition down to 2.5 K; which indicates that the average valence of the Co ions is responsible for inducing the magnetic transition at 22 K.

Key words: thermoelectrics, layered cobaltites, magnetism, muon spin rotation

1. INTRODUCTION

The layered cobaltite Na_xCoO_2 [refs. 1-3] was originally known to exhibit metallic conductivity σ and an extraordinarily large thermopower S (above +90 μV/K at 300 K) simultaneously, although the current interests concentrate its superconductivity induced by an intercalated H_2O.[4,5] It is believed that the both characteristic transport phenomena are induced by a strong correlation between the $3d$ electrons of the Co ions.[6] The crystal structure of Na_xCoO_2 with $0.5 \leq x \leq 0.75$ was reported to be a bronze-type hexagonal system of space group $P6_3/mmc$ ($a=0.2833$ nm and $c=1.082$ nm for $x=0.71$).[7] In this structure, the single CoO_2 planes and the single disordered Na planes form alternating stacks along the hexagonal c axis.

The CoO_2 planes, in which a two-dimensional-triangular lattice of Co ions is formed by a network of edge-sharing CoO_6 octahedra, are believed to be the conduction planes. This is because the CoO_2 sheet is a common structural component for all known thermoelectric layered cobaltites, *i.e.*, Na_xCoO_2, $[Ca_2CoO_3]_{0.62}[CoO_2]$,[8-10] $[Sr_2Bi_2O_4]_x[CoO_2]$,[11,12] and $[Ca_2Co_{4/3}Cu_{2/3}O_4]_{0.62}[CoO_2]$.[13] Moreover, if the interaction between $3d$ electrons plays a significant role on their transport properties, such interaction should also affect the magnetism of these cobaltites.

Recently, Motohashi *et al.* studied the bulk susceptibility of polycrystalline $Na_{0.75}CoO_2$ and reported the existence of a magnetic transition at 22 K ($=T_m$) accompanying the increase in the slope of the resistivity-

$vs.$-T curve and the appearance of the large positive magnetoresistance effect. No transitions were found in $Na_{0.65}CoO_2$ down to 2 K.[14] Interestingly, both σ and S of $Na_{0.75}CoO_2$ were significantly larger than those of $Na_{0.65}CoO_2$.[15] In other words, the thermoelectric properties of Na_xCoO_2 seem to be enhanced by the magnetic interaction between $3d$ electrons which induces the magnetic transition.

The measurements on heat capacity (C_p) and spontaneous magnetization suggested that only a very small fraction (less than 1%) of the $Na_{0.75}CoO_2$ sample changed to the magnetic phase even at 2 K, assuming a simple ordered state of Co spins with $S=1/2$.[14] On the contrary, the sample was confirmed to be single phase by powder X-ray diffraction analysis at ambient temperature, leaving open the possibility that the magnetic transition is due to an undetected impurity phase. However, such small impurity phase is unlikely to induce the observed change in the transport properties below T_m. Therefore, to investigate the magnetism of Na_xCoO_2 in greater detail, we have measured both weak (~100 Oe) transverse-field positive muon spin rotation and relaxation (wTF-μ^+SR) and zero field (ZF-)μ^+SR spectra in both $Na_{0.75}CoO_2$ and $Na_{0.65}CoO_2$ at temperatures below 300 K.

2. EXPERIMENT

Samples of $Na_{0.75}CoO_2$ and $Na_{0.65}CoO_2$ were synthesized by a modified solid state reaction technique, *i.e.*, a "rapid heat-up" technique to control the Na

content precisely,[15] using reagent-grade Na$_2$CO$_3$ and Co$_3$O$_4$ powders as starting materials. The mixed powder was placed into the furnace, which was preheated at 750°C, and fired for 12 hours. The fired powder was thoroughly ground and pressed into a plate of 10 mm length, 15 mm width and 3 mm thickness, and then the plate was sintered at 900 °C for 12 hours.

Powder X-ray diffraction studies indicated that the samples were single phase of a hexagonal structure of space group $P6_3/mmc$, i.e., γ-Na$_x$CoO$_2$ phase. The lattice parameters of the Na$_{0.75}$CoO$_2$ sample were calculated as a=0.2828 nm and c=1.0884 nm, and for Na$_{0.65}$CoO$_2$, a= 0.2826 nm and c = 1.0926 nm. The preparation and characterization of the samples were reported in detail elsewhere.[14,15]

The μ$^+$SR experiments were performed on the **M20** surface muon beam line at TRIUMF. The experimental setup and techniques were described elsewhere.[16]

3. RESULTS

The wTF-μ$^+$SR spectra for both samples demonstrated that Na$_{0.75}$CoO$_2$ undergoes a magnetic transition below 50 K, whereas Na$_{0.65}$CoO$_2$ is paramagnet down to 2.5 K as expected. The volume fraction V_F of the magnetic phase in Na$_{0.75}$CoO$_2$ is roughly estimated to be ~14% at the lowest temperature measured.

In order to investigate the magnetism in Na$_{0.75}$CoO$_2$ below 22 K in greater detail, ZF-μ$^+$SR measurements were carried out at 30, 25, 22, 15, 10 and 2.5 K. The resulting time spectra, displayed in Fig. 1, show a clear oscillation due to quasi-static, microscopic, internal fields at temperatures below T_m.

Figure 2 shows the Fourier transform of the ZF-μ$^+$SR time spectrum at 2.5 K. There are one main peak at 3.3 MHz and two minor peaks at 2.6 and 2.1~MHz; and the main peak corresponds to the oscillation in Fig. 1. Indeed, the ZF-μ$^+$SR time spectra were best fitted with a combination of three exponential relaxation functions (for the signals due to a clear static magnetic field) and a Gaussian Kubo-Toyabe function (for the signal from muon sites experiencing disordered magnetic fields):

$$A_0 P(t) = A_1 e^{-\lambda_1 t} \cos(\omega_{\mu,1} t + \phi) + A_{KT} G_{ZZ}^{KT}(t, \Delta)$$

$$+ A_2 e^{-\lambda_2 t} \cos(\omega_{\mu,2} t + \phi)$$

$$+ A_3 e^{-\lambda_3 t} \cos(\omega_{\mu,3} t + \phi),$$　　(1)

$$\omega_{\mu,i} \equiv 2\pi \nu_{\mu,i} = \gamma_\mu H_{int,i}.$$　　(2)

where A_0 is the empirical maximum experimental muon decay asymmetry, A_i and λ_i (i=1, 2 and 3) are the asymmetries and exponential relaxation rates associated with the three oscillating signals. A_{KT} is the asymmetry of the Gaussian Kubo-Toyabe signal and Δ is the static width of the local frequencies at the disordered sites, and $\omega_{\mu,i}$ is the muon precession frequency in the characteristic local magnetic field $H_{int,i}$ due to the static magnetic field (where γ_μ is muon gyromagnetic ratio). The static Gaussian Kubo-Toyabe function is

$$G_{ZZ}^{KT}(t, \Delta) = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{2}{3}\left(1 - \Delta^2 t^2\right)e^{-\Delta^2 t^2/2}.$$　　(3)

FIG.1 ZF-μ$^+$SR time spectra of Na$_{0.75}$CoO$_2$ obtained at 30, 20, 10 and 2.5 K; the solid lines represent the results of fitting using Eq. (1).

Figures 3(a)-3(e) show the temperature dependences of (a) A_i and A_{KT}, (b) the volume fraction of the three exponential relaxation signals V_F, (c) λ_i, (d) $v_{\mu,i}$ and (e) ϕ in Na$_{0.75}$CoO$_2$. The volume fraction V_F was calculated as

$$V_F = \Sigma A_i / \left(\Sigma A_i + A_{KT}\right).$$

Below 22 K, A_i and A_{KT} have finite values and both A_1 (main component) and A_{KT} are almost constant at temperatures below T_m. The magnitude of A_1 is larger than those of A_2 and A_3 by one order of magnitude, as expected from the Fourier transform spectrum (see Fig. 2). However, the ratio between A_{KT} and A_1 is ~4.5 at 2.5 K; this indicates that ~80% muons in the sample experience a disordered magnetic field. Since the magnetic properties of Na$_x$CoO$_2$ are highly sensitive to x, a slight reduction in x makes the sample nonmagnetic in


**FIG. 2** Fourier transform of the ZF-μ$^+$SR time spectrum from Fig. 1 at 2.5 K.

FIG. 3 Temperature dependences of (a) A_i and A_{KT}, (b) V_F, (c) λ_i, (d)$v_{\mu,i}$, and (e) ϕ for the $Na_{0.75}CoO_2$ sample. The data were obtained by fitting the ZF-μ^+SR time spectra to Eq. (1).

the whole range of temperature.[14] Indeed, the wTF-μ^+SR experiment on the Na-poor sample, $Na_{0.65}CoO_2$, exhibited no magnetic ordering down to 2.5 K. Thus, the $Na_{0.75}CoO_2$ sample, although structurally single phase, is found to be partially nonmagnetic, *i.e.*, magnetically inhomogeneous, probably because of a low local Na concentration.

The $V_F(T)$ curve is also fairly flat (~20%) below T_m, similarly to the $A_1(T)$ curve. This indicates that ~20% of the sample exhibits the transition to the ordered phase at 22 K, and the volume of the ordered phase does not change down to 2.5 K. Since V_F =21% at 2.5 K, it is concluded that this transition is not induced by impurity phases but is an intrinsic behavior of the $Na_{0.75}CoO_2$ sample. Moreover, this suggests that the ordered phase is responsible for the change in the transport properties below T_m.

The internal magnetic fields of the three signals, *i.e.*, $v_{\mu,i}$ with i=1, 2 and 3, exhibit a similar temperature

dependence. That is, as T decreases, each $v_{\mu,i}$ increases, with a decreasing slope $dv_{\mu,i}/dT$, and level off to a constant value below 5 K. Here, it is worth noting that the $v_{\mu,i}(T)$ curve indicates the change in an order parameter of the transition. Thus, the moderate temperature dependence of $v_{\mu,i}$ just below T_m suggests that the transition is likely to be discontinuous, whereas C_p supported a continuous transition.[14]

The values of ϕ range between 3.5 and -16 degrees (see Fig. 3(e)). This fact, *i.e.*, ϕ~0, indicates that the ordered phase is a either a usual ferromagnet, an antiferromagnet, a ferrimagnet or a commensurate (C) spin density wave (**SDW**) state but not an incommensurate (**IC-)SDW** state, as found for example for $[Ca_2CoO_3]_{0.62}[CoO_2]$ [ref. 17] and the Zn and Si doped $CuGeO_3$ system.[18]

4. DISCUSSION

The three exponential relaxation signals and their $v_{\mu,i}(T)$ curves suggest that there are three inequivalent microscopically ordered μ^+ sites in the $Na_{0.75}CoO_2$ sample. The possible μ^+ sites are bound to the oxygen ions in the CoO_2 planes (the O site) and the two vacant sites in the Na planes, namely the Na(1) and Na(2) sites.[19] According to a simple estimate of dipole field from one ion,[20] μ^+ are unlikely to be located at the vacant Na sites but near the O site. The width of the FFT and the relaxation of the oscillating signals suggest that the field at the O site is inhomogeneously broadened probably due to variations in the Co-O bond length (d_{Co-O}) caused by the excess Na in the Na planes.

The transition is obviously induced by the ordering of the Co spins in the CoO_2 planes. If we assume that the muons experiencing the ordered field are bound to oxygen, then we can estimate the ordered Co moment as ~0.18 μ_B at 2.5 K, using $v_{\mu,1}$=3.3 MHz and d_{Co-O} =0.1914 nm. Considering the number of the nearest neighboring Co ions for the O site (= 3) and the small volume fraction of the magnetic phase (~21%), this value is still 100 times larger than that estimated by the magnetization measurement (1.2 × 10^{-4} μ_B at 2 K).[14] Such a large discrepancy is difficult to explain based only on the present results.

The related compound $[Ca_2CoO_3]_{0.62}[CoO_2]$ exhibits two magnetic transitions below 300 K;[17] one is a transition to an **IC-SDW** state at ~30 K and the other to a ferrimagnetic state at 19 K. The **IC-SDW** is considered to be induced by ordering of the Co moments in the $[CoO_2]$ subsystem, whereas the ferrimagnetic ordering is reported to be caused by the interlayer coupling between the Co moments in the $[CoO_2]$ and $[Ca_2CoO_3]$ subsystems.[21,22]

Therefore, there is a possibility that $Na_{0.75}CoO_2$ below T_m enters either a ferrimagnet or a **C-SDW** state, because such magnetic ordering would decrease the bulk magnetization drastically. In the former case, the Co moments are likely to align ferromagnetically in the CoO_2 planes but antiferromagnetically along the c axis. In the latter case, combining with the fact that the **IC-SDW** presents in both $[Ca_2CoO_3]_{0.62}[CoO_2]$ [refs. 21,22] and $[Ca_2Co_{4/3}Cu_{2/3}O_4]_{0.62}[CoO_2]$,[23] the **SDW** order of the Co spin would be a common behavior on the two-dimensional triangular lattice of the CoO_2 plane with

non-half filling. In order to investigate the magnetism of Na_xCoO_2 in further detail, not only μ^+SR but also neutron diffraction and [59]Co-NMR measurements are necessary for single crystals with various x.

4. SUMMARY

We measured positive muon-spin-rotation and relaxation (μ^+SR) spectra in a polycrystalline $Na_{0.75}CoO_2$ sample below 300 K. At temperatures below 22 K ($=T_m$), zero field μ^+SR spectra exhibited clear oscillations due to static internal magnetic fields, although the volume fraction of the magnetically ordered phase was only ~21% at 2.5 K. Furthermore, the Co moment estimated by the present μ^+SR experiment was ~100 times larger than that estimated from the magnetization measurement. This suggested that the ordered phase is in either a ferrimagnet or a commensurate spin density wave state. In addition, a large fraction of the muons, given by A_{KT} (KT background) was found to experience a broad distribution of fields, perhaps reflecting the disorder due to the excess Na.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

References

[1] J. Molenda, C. Delmas, P. Dordor, and A. Stoklosa, *Solid State Ionics*, **12**, 473-477 (1989).

[2] H. Yakabe, K. Kikuchi, I. Terasaki, Y. Sasago, and K. Uchinokura, in *Proc. 16th Int. Conf. Thermoelectrics*, Dresden, 1997 (IEEE, Piscataway, 1997), pp.523-527.

[3] I. Terasaki, Y. Sasago, and K. Uchinokura, *Phys. Rev. B* **56**, R12685-R12687 (1997).

[4] K. Takada, H. Sakurai, E. Takayama-Muromachi, F. Izumi, R. A. Dilanian, and T. Sasaki, *Nature* **422**, 53-55 (2003).

[5] R. E. Schaak, T. Klimczuk, M. L. Foo, and R. J. Cava, *Nature* **424**, 527-529 (2003).

[6] Y. Ando, N. Miyamoto, K. Segawa, T. Kawata, and I. Terasaki, *Phys. Rev. B* **60**, 10580-10583 (1999).

[7] C. Fouassier, G. Matejka, J-M. Reau, and P. Hagenmuller, *J. Solid State Chem.* **6**, 532-537 (1973).

[8] R. Funahashi, I. Matsubara, H. Ikuta, T. Takeuchi, U. Mizutani, and S. Sodeoka, *Jpn. J. Appl. Phys.* **39**, L1127-L1129 (2000).

[9] A. C. Masset, C. Michel, A. Maignan, M. Hervieu, O. Toulemonde, F. Studer, B. Raveau, and J. Hejtmanek, *Phys. Rev. B* **62**, 166-175 (2000).

[10] Y. Miyazaki, K. Kudo, M. Akoshima, Y. Ono, Y. Koike, and T. Kajitani, *Jpn. J. Appl. Phys.* **39**, L531-L533 (2000).

[11] T. Yamamoto, I. Tsukada, K. Uchinokura, M. Takagi, T. Tsubone, M. Ichihara, and K. Kobayashi, *Jpn. J. Appl. Phys.* **39**, L747-L750 (2000).

[12] I. Tsukada, T. Yamamoto, M. Takagi, T. Tsubone,

S. Konno, and K. Uchinokura, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **70**, 834-840 (2001).

[13] Y. Miyazaki, T. Miura, Y. Ono, and T. Kajitani, *Jpn. J. Appl. Phys.* **41**, L849-L851 (2002).

[14] T. Motohashi, R. Ueda, E. Naujalis, T. Tojo, I. Terasaki, T. Atake, M. Karppinen, and H. Yamauchi, *Phys. Rev. B* **67**, 64406-1-64406-5 (2003).

[15] T. Motohashi, E. Naujalis, R. Ueda, K. Isawa, M. Karppinen, and H. Yamauchi, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **79**, 1480-1482 (2001).

[16] L. P. Le, A. Keren, G. M. Luke, B. J. Sternlieb, W. D. Wu, Y. J. Uemura, J. H. Brewer, T. M. Riseman, R. V. Upasani, L. Y. Chiang, W. Kang, P. M. Chaikin, T. Csiba, and G. Grüner, *Phys. Rev. B* **48**, 7284-7296 (1993).

[17] J. Sugiyama, H. Itahara, T. Tani, J. H. Brewer, and E. J. Ansaldo. *Phys. Rev. B* **66**, 134413-1-134413-9 (2002).

[18] K. M. Kojima, Y. Fudamoto, M. Larkin, G. M. Luke, J. Merrin, B. Nachumi, Y. J. Uemura, M. Hase, Y. Sasago, K. Uchinokura, Y. Ajiro, A. Revcolevschi, and J. -P. Renard, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **79**, 503-506 (1997).

[19] R. J. Balsys, and R. L. Davis, *Solid State Ionics* **93**, 279 (1997).

[20] J. Sugiyama, H. Itahara, J. H. Brewer, E. J. Ansaldo. T. Motohashi, M. Karppinen, and H. Yamauchi, *Phys. Rev. B* **67**, 214420-1-5 (2002).

[21] J. Sugiyama, C. Xia, and T. Tani, *Phys. Rev. B* **67**, 104410-1-104410-5 (2003).

[22] J. Sugiyama, J. H. Brewer, E. J. Ansaldo, H. Itahara, K. Dohmae, Y. Seno, C. Xia, and T. Tani, *Phys. Rev. B* **68** (2003) in press.

[23] J. Sugiyama *et al.*, unpublished.