# Influence of Magnetic Field Direction on Recoverable Strain Due to Rearrangement of Variants in Fe<sub>3</sub>Pt

Takashi Fukuda, Tatsuaki Sakamoto, Tetsuya Inoue, Tomoyuki Kakeshita, and Kohji Kishio\*

Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Graduate School of Engineering, Osaka University,

2-1, Yamadaoka, Suita, Osaka 565-0871, Japan

Fax: 81-6-6879-7483, e-mail: fukuda@mat.eng.osaka-u.ac.jp

\*Department of Superconductivity, School of Engineering, University of Tokyo,

Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-8656, Japan

An ordered Fe<sub>3</sub>Pt with degree of order nearly 0.8 is one of ferromagnetic shape memory alloys exhibiting a giant magnetic field-induced strain (MFIS) in association with rearrangement of martensite variants. A part of MFIS of Fe<sub>3</sub>Pt is recoverable. In this study, we have examined the recoverable MFIS behavior of Fe<sub>3</sub>Pt at various temperatures by applying magnetic field along  $[001]_P$ ,  $[110]_P$  and  $[111]_P$  directions ("P" stands for the parent phase). For all the field directions, the strain was measured along  $[001]_P$  in which the largest strain appears. The recoverable MFIS under the  $[001]_P$  field exhibits a maximum of about 1% around 20 K, and that under the  $[110]_P$  field exhibits a maximum of about 0.6% around 50 K. On the other hand, the recoverable MFIS under the  $[111]_P$  field is very small compared with other two directions. The magnetic field at which rearrangement of variants starts also depends strongly on temperature and field direction.

Key words: iron-platinum alloy, ferromagnetic shape memory alloy, rearrangement of variants

### 1. INTRODUCTION

A properly heat treated iron-platinum alloy containing about 25 mol% of platinum is one of ferromagnetic shape memory alloys exhibiting a giant magnetic field-induced strain (MFIS) due to rearrangement of martensite variants[1,2]. This alloy exhibits an order-disorder transition near 1100 K, and its martensitic transformation behavior changes from a burst-type to a thermoelastic-type as the degree of order increases[3]. Particularly, a highly ordered alloy (Fe<sub>3</sub>Pt) transforms from the L1<sub>2</sub>-type parent phase to the so-called f.c.t. martensite phase[4], whose c axis (easy axis) is slightly shorter than its a axes and which exhibit giant MFIS.

The MFIS behavior of Fe<sub>3</sub>Pt is apparently different from that of other ferromagnetic shape memory alloys such as Ni<sub>2</sub>MnGa[5,6] and Fe-31.2Pd(mol%)[2]. That is, the fraction of the most preferable variant under the magnetic field does not reach 100% in Fe<sub>3</sub>Pt while it reaches 100% in Ni<sub>2</sub>MnGa and Fe-31.2Pd. Furthermore, a part of the MFIS recovers in the field removing process in Fe<sub>3</sub>Pt while it does not in Ni<sub>2</sub>MnGa and Fe-31.2Pd. In order to understand the reason of these differences, we need more information about the recoverable MFIS of Fe<sub>3</sub>Pt.

In the present study, we examine effects of magnetic field direction on the recoverable MFIS of Fe<sub>3</sub>Pt at various temperatures. The reason of examining field direction dependence is that we can control the magnetocrylline anisotropy energy, which is considered to be the dominant energy for the rearrangement of variants, by changing the field direction.

#### 2. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

A single crystal of Fe<sub>3</sub>Pt was prepared by a floating zone method, and two specimens (A, B) were cut out from it. Specimen A is a parallelepiped, whose surface is composed of one  $\{001\}_P$  and two  $\{110\}_P$  planes ("P" stands for the parent phase) Specimen B is also a parallelepiped, whose surface is composed of  $\{111\}_{P}$ ,  $\{112\}_{P}$  and  $\{110\}_{P}$  planes. They were solution treated at 1373 K followed by ordering heat treatment at 923 K for 360 ks. The degree of order is about 0.8[4], and the martensitic transformation temperature of the present specimen is about 85 K.

The MFIS of the specimen was measured by a strain gage method. Strain gages (Kyowa KFL-02) were attached to the two specimens on their (110) surfaces along their [001]<sub>P</sub> directions by using an adhesive (Kyowa PC-6). Magnetic field *H* was applied along [001]<sub>P</sub>, [110]<sub>P</sub> or [111]<sub>P</sub> direction, and MFIS ( $\Delta l/l$ ) was measured along [001]<sub>P</sub> direction as schematically illustrated in Figs. 1, 2 and 3.

# 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Firstly, each specimen was cooled from room temperature down to measuring temperatures under zero-magnetic-field, and then magnetic field of up to 3.2 MA/m was applied and removed. This application and removal of the field were repeated three times. The MFIS of the second and the third runs are completely recoverable, and the results of the third runs are shown in (a)-(g) of Figs. 1, 2 and 3.

For all the field directions examined, the recoverable MFIS depends strongly on temperature. It exhibits the maximum of about 1% around 20 K under the  $[001]_P$  field and of about 0.6% around 50 K under the  $[110]_P$  field. In contrast, the MFIS under the  $[111]_P$  field is very small, and it decreases monotonically as temperature decreases. We can evaluated the volume fraction which contributed to the rearrangement of variants by using the obtained recoverable MFIS and lattice parameters[7]. This fraction exhibits the maximum of about 20% between 20 and 40K under the  $[001]_P$  field; it exhibits a maximum of about 15% between 50 and 60 K under the



Fig. 1 Temperature dependence of recoverable MFIS. Field is applied along  $[001]_P$  direction and strain is measured along  $[001]_P$  direction



Fig. 2 Temperature dependence of recoverable MFIS. Field is applied along  $[110]_P$  direction and strain is measured along  $[001]_P$  direction.

 $[110]_P$  field, and it is very small at all temperatures under the  $[111]_P$  field. The field at which the rearrangement of variants starts,  $H_{s}$ , also depends both on temperature and on field direction. This field  $H_s$ increases as temperature decreases regardless the field direction, and  $H_s$  of  $[110]_P$  is higher than  $H_s$  of  $[001]_P$  at any temperatures.

We discuss these temperature and field direction dependencies by considering magnetic shear stress acting across the twinning plane  $\tau_{mag}$  and the shear stress required for the rearrangement of variants  $\tau_{req}$ . Since the strain recovers in the field removing process,  $\tau_{req}$  should include elastic stress  $\tau_{el}$  which pushes back the twinning plane. Then  $\tau_{req}$  will be expressed as  $\tau_{req}=\tau_{fic}+\tau_{el}$ , where  $\tau_{fic}$  is the frictional stress, which causes energy dissipation. We may assume that  $\tau_{el}$  increases as strain increases and that  $\tau_{fric}$  is essentially independent of strain. The temperature dependence of  $H_s$  described above



Fig. 3 Temperature dependence of recoverable MFIS. Field is applied along  $[111]_P$  direction and strain is measured along  $[001]_P$  direction.

probably comes from the increase of  $\tau_{\rm fric}$  with decreasing temperature. The field orientation dependence of  $H_s$  will come from the orientation dependence of  $\tau_{mag}$ . That is,  $\tau_{mag}$  under the [110]<sub>P</sub> field will be smaller than that under the [001]<sub>P</sub> field, provided that their field strengths are the same. Then higher field strength is required for the [110]<sub>P</sub> field in order to start the rearrangement of variants. The reason of the existence of the maximum of MFIS suggests that although  $\tau_{mag}$ ,  $\tau_{el}$  and  $\tau_{req}$  will increase as temperature decreases, they will change in a significantly different manner. For further discussion, we need temperature dependencies of magnetocrystalline anisotropy and stress-strain curves.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work is partly supported by the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology of Japan (MEXT), through MEXT Special Coordination Funds for Promoting Science and Technology (Nanospintoronics Design and Realization, NDR; Strategic Research Base's Handai Frontier Research Center).

#### REFERENCES

[1] T. Kakeshita, T. Takeuchi, T. Fukuda, M. Tsujiguchi, T. Saburi, R. Oshima and S. Muto, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 77, 1502-08 (2000).

[2] T. Sakamoto, T. Fukuda, T. Kakeshita, T. Takeuchi, and K. Kishio, J. Appl. Phys., 93, 8647-49 (2000).

[3] M. Umemoto and C. M. Wayman, Metall. Trans., A9, 891-897 (1978).

[4] S. Muto, R. Oshima and F. E. Fujita, *Metall. Trans.*, A19, 2723-31 (1988).

 [5] N. Okamoto, Y. Nakamura, T. Fukuda, T. Kakeshita, T. Takeuchi and K. Kishio, Trans. Mater. Res. Soc. Jpn., 28[2], 245-246 (2003).

[6] S. J. Murray, M. Marioni, S. M. Allen, R. C. O'Handley and T. A. Lograsso, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 77, 886-889 (2000).

[7] T. Fukuda, T. Sakamoto, T. Kakeshita, T. Takeuchi and K. Kishio, *Mater. Trans.*, 45, 188-192 (2004).