# Microporous inorganic membranes for CO<sub>2</sub> separation

Izumi Kumakiri<sup>\*</sup>, Nicolas Lecerf and Rune Bredesen SINTEF Materials Technology, P.O.Box 124 Blindern, NO-0314 Oslo, Norway Fax: 47-22 06 73 50, e-mail: izumi.kumakiri@sintef.no

A MFI zeolite membrane shows  $CO_2/H_2$  separation factor 34 at room temperature. While the separation ability decreased with temperature, the membrane showed  $CO_2$  separation ability up to  $200^{\circ}C$ . H<sup>+</sup> type and  $NH_3-H^+$  type zeolite membrane showed almost the same permselectivity, suggesting the  $CO_2$  adsorption occurs on to the zeolitic frame or surface silanol groups. The results suggest a possibility in separating  $CO_2$  by enhancing the adsorption strength at high temperature.

Key words: CO<sub>2</sub> sequence, water gas shift, zeolite membrane, high temperature

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Hydrogen is a carbon free energy carrier and fuel for production of power by fuel cells and new gas turbines. Applying  $H_2$  or  $CO_2$  selective membranes in the water gas shift (WGS) reaction is a means to separate the products to  $H_2$  and  $CO_2$ .

 $CH_4 + H_2O \leftrightarrow CO + 3 H_2 - 206 \text{ kJ/mol (reforming)}$  $CO + H_2O \leftrightarrow CO_2 + H_2 + 41 \text{ kJ/mol (WGS)}$ 

The major difference between  $H_2$  and  $CO_2$  selective extraction by membranes is the  $H_2$  pressure after the membrane reactor. In case of applying  $H_2$ selective membranes, the  $H_2$  pressure is lowered by the permeation and a compressor may be required before the turbine. On the other hand, employing a  $CO_2$  selective membrane instead,  $H_2$  is kept in the high-pressure lead stream (see Figure 1). In addition, non-converted  $CH_4$  and CO are in the same stream as  $H_2$  to be fed to the turbine. Accordingly, energy loss is expected to be smaller in the latter case.



Figure 1 Schematic image of the process

The technical challenge of  $CO_2$ extraction is the development of a membrane having sufficient  $CO_2$  separation ability under low temperature WGS conditions (c.a. 200-300 °C) in H2O vapor. Much study has been done on  $CO_2/N_2$ separation targeting the  $CO_2$  capture from waste gas. Various types of membranes, such as silica<sup>1</sup>, zeolites<sup>2</sup>, carbon or polymers<sup>3</sup> have been examined. To enhance the selectivity, several modifications are also have been investigated<sup>2,4,5</sup>. This work shows that  $CO_2/N_2$  separation ability is sensitive to temperature and separation ability significantly drops with temperature. This is because the separation is dominated by  $CO_2$  adsorption strength to the membrane materials. The same mechanism can be expected for the  $CO_2/H_2$ separation<sup>6</sup>. Moreover, this is more difficult than  $CO_2/N_2$ , as  $H_2$  is a smaller molecule than  $N_2$  and can permeate through pores that  $CO_2$  cannot penetrate.

There are different approaches to reach CO<sub>2</sub> selective permeation. One is to use dense membranes having CO<sub>2</sub> selective transport ability (see left image of Figure 2). Nair *et al.* used molten carbonate as a  $CO_2$  carrier<sup>7</sup>. They covered porous supports with molten Li2ZrO3, and obtained  $CO_2/N_2$  separation factor about 5 at 600 °C. In principle, as the CO<sub>2</sub> permeation is based on a reaction, high CO<sub>2</sub> selectivity can be expected. Preferentially, lithiumcarbonate should be in a molten phase to obtain a faster reaction rate than what is possible in the solid phase<sup>8,9</sup>. Accordingly, the operation temperature should be higher than 400 °C in order to have molten phase. It may be some difficulty in maintaining the molten carbonate on/in the support and thinner the molten layer thickness to obtain higher permeating flux.



Figure 2 Schematic image of  $CO_2$  extraction by membranes (left: dense membrane case, right: porous membrane case)

The other approach is to enhance the  $CO_2$  adsorption strength of the membrane materials (see right image of Figure 2). While  $CO_2$  adsorption ability of zeolites or carbon is rapidly lost with temperature, some chemisorbents show

CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption at high temperature. Table 1 showing some data. Alkaline metal or alkaline earth-metal oxides (c.a. Li<sub>2</sub>O, K<sub>2</sub>O, Na<sub>2</sub>O, CaO, MgO), alkaline metal carbonate (c.a. Li<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>) or amine groups have basic properties, and show strong affinity to  $CO_2$ . Accordingly introducing such basic sites can enhance the CO<sub>2</sub> capture ability. By selecting polymers with amine groups, it is possible to have CO<sub>2</sub> separation ability with high capacity at higher temperature than zeolites. Temperature of c.a. 150-200 °C is, however a limitation due to the decomposition of polymer. On the contrary, inorganic materials have rather smaller CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption capacity, but the CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption can be achieved at higher temperature (c.a. 400°C). Some chemisorbents keep  $CO_2$  adsorption ability even at low water vapor pressure<sup>13,14</sup>.

Table 1  $CO_2$  adsorption properties onto various materials

Adsorben	P(CO <sub>2</sub> ) (bar)	Adsorption amount (mmol/g-adsorbent)		
ts		Low temp.	75 °C	400 °C
Zeolite (H-MFI)	0.5	1.7 (30°C)	0.04 (103°C)	<<
Ammine sites (PEI on meso porous	1	. <b>_</b>	3	-
silica <sup>11</sup>	1			0.26
MgO	<u> </u>		0.15	0.50
Hydrotalc ite-like <sup>13</sup>	1	0.23 (20°C)	(200 °C)	(300° C)
Li <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> on alumina <sup>14</sup>	0.67	real	-	2*
Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> on alumina <sup>14</sup>	0.67	-	-	1.4- 4.7*

\*calculated assuming no adsorption to alumina

When applying these basic chemisorbents as membrane material, microporous structure is required to reject other molecules. As these sorbents hardly form microporous structure by themself, some standard mnicroporous membranes (c.a. silica, carbon and zcolite membranes) should be used as backbones and chemisorbents can be introduced by surface modification. Accordingly, membrane а preparation procedure can be 1) selection of proper candidate materials with enough CO<sub>2</sub> affinity at high temperature, 2) preparation of homogeneous sol, 3) preparation of microporous supports with well controlled pore size, 4) surface modification with control of chemisorbents layer thickness.

In this study,  $CO_2/H_2$  separation has

been studied with zeolite membranes as a model system for  $CO_2/H_2$  by adsorption selective separation.

## 2. EXPERIMENTS

#### Zeolite membranes

H-MFI zeolite crystals (Si/Al ratio of  $10^{16}$ , pore size 5.1x5.4 Å) are synthesized hydrothermally to plug the pores of supporting materials ( $\alpha$ -alumina, 0.2  $\mu$ m pore size). Detailed procedure is described elsewhere<sup>15</sup>. The membrane was of 150 mm length, formed in the inside top layer of the interior surface of a cylindrical support with effective membrane area of about 28 cm<sup>2</sup>.

The zeolite crystals have  $H^+$  form as prepared. Ammonia gas was introduced to the membrane to cover the acidic site.

The property of the prepared membrane was characterized by single gas permeation tests using H<sub>2</sub>, CO<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub>/H<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub>/N<sub>2</sub> separation tests at room temperature to 400°C. The pressure difference was changed from 0.5 bar to 3.5 bar. All the measurements were performed by pressure drop method and no sweep gas was use. Before the permeation and separation tests, the membrane was heat treated to remove any adsorbed molecules. The pretreatment conditions are at 400°C under dry nitrogen flow for both sides of the membrane for more than 1 day. The heating rate was kept smaller than 2°C/min. The separation factor is calculated by equation bellow.

 $S_f(A/B) = (xA_{perm}/xB_{perm})/(xA_{feed}/xB_{feed})$ where xA, xB represents the molar ratio of component A and B, respectively.

#### 3. **RESULTS and DISCUSSION**

 $CO_2/H_2$  separation with zeolite membranes at room temperature

Figure 3 shows the  $CO_2/H_2$  separation property of a H-MFI membrane at room temperature. Separation factor (S<sub>f</sub>) increased with CO<sub>2</sub> pressure in feed, and showed more than 30 at room temperature. Open keys show the flux obtained in single gas permeation, and closed keys show the flux in separation.  $CO_2$  flux is almost the same in pure gas permeation and in separation with gas mixture. H<sub>2</sub> flux in separation is however, significantly smaller in separation compare to the single gas permeation flux. Apparently, H<sub>2</sub> permeation is hindered by co-existing CO<sub>2</sub>. This suggests that pores of c.a. 5 Å diameter can be plugged by  $CO_2$  molecules (3.3 Å) if the surface has a sufficient adsorption strength, and that H<sub>2</sub> (2.89 Å) can not pass in the pores.



Figure 3  $CO_2$  and  $H_2$  permeation fluxes in single gas feed and in separation as a function of pressure difference at room temperature (open keys: pure gas feed, closed keys: 1:1 mixture as feed)

## Influence of cation type of zeolite membranes

Ammonia molecules have basic property and adsorbs strongly on to the acidic  $H^+$  site.  $H_2$ ,  $N_2$ and  $CO_2$  permeation are almost the same with ammonia molecules, while  $CH_4$  permeation flux became smaller with ammonia. This suggests that  $H^+$  site has strong influence on  $CH_4$  adsorption, while the major  $CO_2$  adsorption site is not the  $H^+$ site.

Table 2 summarizes the CO<sub>2</sub> separation performance at room temperature. Separation factors show order of  $CO_2/H_2 > CO_2/N_2 > CO_2/CH_4$ . The CO<sub>2</sub> selectivity is lowered as the adsorption strength of counter molecule increases. On the contrary, the separation ability is not changed with ammonia.

The three major different adsorption sites are cation sites, silanol on the outer surface  $(1-2 \text{ silanol groups/unit cell}^{17})$  and bridged oxygen in the zeolitic framework. Based on the little influence of cation type on permeation and separation properties, CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption on to the latter two sites are contributing the CO<sub>2</sub> plugging the zeolitic pores.

Table 2CO2separation ability at roomtemperature with 1 bar pressure difference

	$CO_2/H_2$	$CO_2/N_2$	CO <sub>2</sub> /CH <sub>4</sub>
H-MFI	9.3*	4.9	~
	(1.9)**	(2.4)	
NH <sub>4</sub> -MFI	9.5	4.2	3.4
	(1.9)	(2.4)	(4.3)

\* Separation factor, \*\* Total flux  $(mmol/m^2 s)$ 

## Effect of temperature

Figure 4 shows the influence of temperature on  $CO_2/H_2$  and  $CO_2/N_2$  separation properties. The  $H_2$  and  $N_2$  fluxes increased significantly in the low temperature range (from room temperature to  $150^{\circ}$ C), and finally reached the same value as single gas permeation flux at 400  $^{\circ}$ C. Accordingly, the highest separation factor was achieved at the lowest temperature for both systems. The membrane showed  $CO_2/H_2$  selectivity up to 200  $^{\circ}$ C, and  $CO_2/N_2$  selectivity up to 400  $^{\circ}$ C. As the  $CO_2$  adsorption to zeolites become decrease with temperature<sup>10</sup>, the pore



Figure 4 Effect of temperature on  $CO_2/H_2$ separation (closed keys) and  $CO_2/N_2$  separation (open keys) (1:1 mixture as feed,  $\Delta P_{total}=1$  bar)

## 4. CONCLUSIONS and PROSPECTS

The model case with H-MFI zeolite membrane showed  $CO_2/H_2$  separation ability;  $S_f$ above 30 at R.T.. The separation factor increased with pressure, while decreased with temperature. The membrane showed and  $CO_2/H_2$  selective up to  $200^{\circ}C$ , and  $CO_2/N_2$  up to  $400^{\circ}C$ .

The results show co-existing  $CO_2$  hinder the  $H_2$  permeation. Appling stronger  $CO_2$ adsorbents on the microporous membrane surface,  $CO_2/H_2$  separation ability will possibly be obtain at higher temperature. Acknowledgement

This work is founded by the Norwegian Research Council

References

[1] G.D. West, G.G. Diamond, D. Holland. M.E. Smith and M.H. Lewis, "Gas transport mechanisms through sol-gel derived temolated membranes", J. Membr. Sci. 203, 53-69 (2002)

[2] K. Kusakabem T. Kuroda and S. Morooka, "Separation of carbon dioxide from nitrogen using ion-exchanged faujasite-type zeolite membranes formed on porous support tubes", J. Membr. Sci., 148, 13 (1998)
[3] S. Kazama, T. Teramoto and H. Haraya, "Carbon dioxide and nitrogen transport properties of bis(phenyl)fluorene-based cardo polymer membranes", J. Membr. Sci. 207, 91-104 (2002)

[4] R. M. de Vos and H. Verweij, "Improved performance of silica membranes for gas separation", J. Membr. Sci. 143, 37-51 (1998)

[5] Y. Hasegawa, K. Watanabe, K. Kuskabe and S. Morooka, "Influence of alkali cations on permeation prooerties of Y-type zeolite membranes", *J. Membr. Sci.* 208, 415-418 (2002)

[6] K. Keizer, A.J. burggraaf, Z.A.E.P. Vroon and H. Verweij, "Two component permeation through thin zeolite MFI membranes", J. Membr. Sci. 147, 159-172 (1998)

[7] B.N. Nair, T. Yamaguchi, H. Kawamura, K. Nakagawa and S. Nakao, "Development of a novel membrane system based on  $\text{Li}_2\text{ZrO}_3$  for high temperature CO<sub>2</sub> separation", proceedings of ICOM 2002, 07-12 July, Toulouse (2002)

[8] K. Essaki, K. Nakagawa and M. Kato, "Acceleration effect of ternary carbonate on  $CO_2$  absorption rate in lithium zirconate powder", *J. Chem. Soc. Japan*, **109**, 829-833 (2001)

[9] J-I Ida and Y.S. Lin, "Mechanism of high-temperature CO2 sorption on lithium zirconate", *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, **37**, 1999-2004 (2003)

[10] T. Yamagzaki, M. Katoh S. Ozawa and Y. Ogino, "Adsorption of CO2 over univalent cation-exchanged ZSM-5", *Moleculare Physics*, **80**, 312-324 (1993)

[11] Z. Zu, C. Song, J.M. Andresen, B.G. Miller. A.W. Scaroni, "Preparation and characterization of novel CO2 moleculer basket adsorbents based on polumer-modified mesoporous molecular sieve MCM-41", *Micro. Meso. Mater.*, **62**, 29-45 (2003)

[12] Z. Young, V.G. Mata and A.E. Rodrigues, "Adsorption of carbon dioxide on chemically modified high surface area carbon-based adsorbents at high temperature", *Adsorption*, 7, 41-50 (2001)

[13] Z. Youngm V. Mata and A.E. Rodrigues, "Adsorption of carbon dioxide onto hydrotalcite-like compounds (HTlcs) at high temperature", *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 40, 204-209 (2001)

[14] T.R. Gaffney, T.C. Golden, S.G. Mayorga, J.R. Brzozowski and F.W. Taylor, "Carbon dioxide pressure swing adsorption process using modified alumina adsorbents", U.S. Patent, 5,97,36 (1999)

[15] O. Pachtova, I. Kumakiri, S. Miachon and J-A. Dalmon "Dynamic desorption of adsorbing species under cross membrane pressure difference: a new

defect characterisation approach in zeolite membranes", J. Membr. Sci, accepted [16] H. Jobic, "On the jump diffusion of molecules in zeolites measured by quasi-elastic neutron scattering", Micro. Meso. Mater., 55, 159-169 (2002)

(Received October 13 2003; Accepted March 31, 2004)