# Photoemission study of Alkanthiolate-Passivated Au Nanoparticles Prepared by Digestive Ripening Process

Masaki Imamura\*, \*\*, Akinori Tanaka\*\* and Hidehiro Yasuda\*\* \*Department of Physics, Graduate School of Science, Tohoku University, Aoba-ku, Sendai 980-8578, Japan FAX: +81-78-803-6155, e-mail: masaki@mail.tains.tohoku.ac.jp \*\*Department of Mechanical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Kobe University, Nada-ku, Kobe 657-8501, Japan FAX: +81-78-803-6123, e-mail: a-tanaka@mech.kobe-u.ac.jp FAX: +81-78-803-6129, e-mail: yasuda@mech.kobe-u.ac.jp

We have carried out the photoemission study of monodisperse Au nanoparticles passivated with the various alkanethiolate on the highly oriented pyrolytic graphite (HOPG) substrate. The photoemission spectra in the vicinity of Fermi level of alkanethiolate-passivated Au nanoparticles on the HOPG substrates are not the metallic Fermi edge. The steep slopes of Fermi level onsets move away from the Fermi level, and depend on the surface-passivant molecules. We have analyzed the obtained spectra with the dynamic final state effect model. The calculated results based on the dynamic final state effect model reproduce the experimental ones fairly well. Furthermore, it is found that the photohole lifetime depends on the molecular length of surface-passivant. We attribute the passivant dependence of photoemission spectra to the surface-passivant dependent nanoparticle-substrate interaction.

Key words: Surface-passivated gold nanoparticles, Photoemission spectroscopy, Nanoparticle-substrate interaction

## 1.INTRODUCTION

Metallic nanoparticles are attracted much attention because of the potential applications such as biosensing, catalysts and single-electron transistors.<sup>1-3</sup> Recently, metallic nanoparticles passivated with the organic molecules have been chemically synthesized.<sup>4-8</sup> These surface-passivated nanoparticles are self-assembled into two- or three- dimentional nanostructure on a solid surface, thus can be easily manipulate the configuration with the surfactant and density. Furthermore, these nanoparticles are stable at the room temperature, and do not aggregate due to the existence of surface-passivants. From these properties, the molecule-passivated nanoparticles are suitable to future nanodevice. In order to develop the future nanodevice, the electron transfer between the nanoparticle and the substrate is considered to be fundamental function, thus it is necessary to investigate the nanoparticle-substrate interaction. We previously discussed nanoparticle-substrate have from the photoemission interaction study of dodecanethiolate- (DT-) passivated Ag nanoparticles9 synthesized with the two phase method.<sup>10</sup>The photoemission spectra in the vicinity of Fermi level of DT-passivated Ag nanoparticles are not the metallic Fermi-edge. The steep slopes of the Fermi level onsets move away from the Fermi-level, and show the size dependence. We have concluded in the previous report this spectral feature in the vicinity of Fermi-level is due to the final state effect in photoemission, indicative of the interaction between the nanoparticle and substrate through the surface passivants on a femtosecond timescale. However, in previous experiment, the observed photoemission spectra include the inhomogeneous width due to the size distribution, prevented obtaining the homogeneous spectrum. In order to discuss the size-dependent spectrum, we need to prepare the monodisperse sample. Additionally, there is no report about the dynamic final-state effect as a function of the passivant molecular length, that is, the passivant dependence of nanoparticle-substrate interaction. In this paper, we report the results of the passivant dependent photoemission studies of the alkanethiolate- (AT-) passivated Au nanoparticles on the highly oriented pyrolytic graphite (HOPG) substrate. In order to obtain the intrinsic spectrum, we prepared the monodisperse AT-passivated Au nanoparticles by the digestive-ripening process.<sup>11, 12</sup> Furthermore, in order to investigate the passivant dependence, we prepared the Au nanoparticles passivated with the various alkanethiol (octanethiol (OT), DT, hexadecanethiol (HDT)) that have different molecular length. From these experiments, we will discuss the passivant dependence of the nanoparticle-substrate interaction.

#### 2. EXPERIMENT

The series of AT-passivated Au nanoparticles were synthesized with a method developed by Lin et al.<sup>11, <sup>12</sup>First, the didodecyldimethylammonium bromide (DDAB) was added to toluene and distilled water to form the micelle solution. Gold chloride was dissolved to this micelle solution by sonication. An aqueous sodium borohydride were added with stirring, and then Au nanoparticles were obtained. While stirring, alkanethiol (OT ( $C_8H_{17}SH$ ), DT ( $C_{12}H_{25}SH$ ), HDT ( $C_{16}H_{33}SH$ )) were added to passivate the gold surface. After that, Au nanoparticles were precipitated with</sup> ethanol, evaporated and redissolved into toluene. Another alkanethiol (OT, DT, HDT) was added, and the nanoparticle solution was heated. The annealed nanoparticle solution was diluted by boiled toluene and left 1 day. The top layer of this nanoparticle solution was separated, evaporated, and washed with ethanol repeatedly to remove excess thiol. The precipitates were finally dissolved to toluene. Then highly monodisperse AT-passivated Au nanoparticle were obtained. The size distribution in the diameter and shape of the AT-passivated Au nanoparticles were observed by the transmission electron microscopy (TEM). Additionally, we performed the optical extinction measurements. For the photoemission measurements, the synthesized AT-passivated Au nanoparticles were supported on the HOPG substrates by evaporating the solvent (toluene) from the dispersion of AT-passivated Au nanoparticles on the single-crystalline HOPG cleaved surface in a nitrogen-filled glove bag directly connected to the ultrahigh-vacuum photoelectron spectrometer. Then the samples were transferred into the photoemission analysis chamber without exposure to air. The photoemission measurements were performed at 40 K with He I resonance line (21.2eV) as an excitation source.

#### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figure 1 shows the TEM images, size distributions for the present AT-passivated Au nanoparticles used in this work. The size distributions were determined from the several TEM micrographs of the corresponding samples. The standard deviations are 0.28, 0.38, and 0.41nm for the surface passivants of OT, DT, and HDT, respectively. From the obtained TEM images, the present AT-passivated Au nanoparticles show the homogeneous and spherical shape, and each nanoparticle separates from the neihboring nanoparticles, indicating that present Au nanoparticles are well passivated by the alkanethiol molecules. The standard deviations of the present nanoparticles are less than about 10%. The present Au nanoparticle samples have narrower size distribution than previous DT-Ag nanoparticles synthesized by the two phase method. Figure 2 shows the photoemission spectra in the vicinity of Fermi-level of the present AT-passivated Au nanoparticles and bulk Au. The spectrum of bulk Au has the metallic Fermi-edge, with the midpoint of the steep slope just at the Fermi level. However, the leading edges of the spectra in the vicinity of Fermi level of all the AT-passivated Au nanoparticles moved away from Fermi level. Furthermore, an important point to note is that the slopes of the leading edges Surface-passivant is indicated on each spectrum depend on the surface passivants. The slopes of the leading edges become steep with decreasing the molecular length of surface-passivant. In our previous work, we have reported that the photoemission spectra in the vicinity of Fermi level of DT-passivated Ag nanoparticles show the deviation from the metallic Fermi-edge, and these spectra reflect the final-state effect.<sup>9</sup> We have explained the experimental spectra using a theoretical model that takes into account the influence of the photohole remaining on the nanoparticle during the photoemission process and the nanoparticle-substrate interaction. The present photoemission spectra have the same tendency with previous photoemission ones of DT-passivated Ag



FIG.1. TEM micrographs and size distributions in AT-passivated Au nanoparticles with mean diameters  $d_m$  and standard deviations  $\sigma$ .



FIG. 2. Photoemission spectra in the vicinity of Fermi level of AT-passivated Au nanoparticles supported on HOPG substrates at 40 K measured with the He I resonance line (21.2eV). Surface-passivant is indicated on each spectrum. Open circles and the solid lines show the experimental photoemission spectra and the fits to the experimental spectra, respectively. The top spectrum shows the Fermi level onset observed for bulk Au polycrystalline evaporated film for a comparison.

nanoparticles, therefore, the present spectra would be explained by the same picture as described below. In general, photoemission spectrum is attributed to the initial state effect that directly reflects the sample electronic state, and also to final state effect that originates from the photohole created by the photonization. Therefore, the present photoemission spectra seem to indicate that the AT-passivated Au nanoparticles exhibit nonmetalic electronic structures. However, from Kubo criterion, <sup>13</sup> this discussion was not reasonable for this size region of the present samples. In addition, the optical extinction spectra of the present nanoparticles show the Mie plasmon resonance, indicating a collective motion of valence-electrons typical for a metallic material. This discussion means that the spectral shapes of AT-passivated Au nanoparticles are attributed not to initial state effect reflecting the change in electronic structure. Therefore, these specific spectral features in the vicinity of the Fermi-level of the present AT-passivated Au nanoparticles originate from the final-state effect due to the photohole left behind in the nanoparticles during the photoemission process. In this experiment, the nanoparticles are passivated with molecules, therefore, the photohole left behind in the nanoparticles are not neutralized immediately. Thus, the photohole left behind in the nanoparticles during the time scale relevant to photoemission process will lower the kinetic energy of photoelectrons through the Coulomb interaction. In fact, these final-state effects on the core-level photoemission spectra have been reported for the free nanoparticles<sup>14</sup> and even for the nanoparticles on the substrates.<sup>15</sup> The final-state effect would play a more important role in the present surface-passivated Au nanoparticles, since the AT-passivated Au nanoparticles are weakly coupled with the HOPG substrate. From the static view, the kinetic energy shift of photoelectrons due to the photohole left behind in the nanoparticle is given by  $\Delta E = e^2/2C$ , where  $C = 4\pi \varepsilon_0 R_N$  is the self-capacitance of the nanoparticle with a radius of nanoparticle  $R_N$ . The energy shift induced by the Coulomb interaction is shown by  $\Delta E = \alpha e^2 / 4\pi \epsilon_0 R_N$ , with  $R_N$  is a radius of nanoparticle and  $\alpha$  is 0.41 for Au.<sup>16</sup>

In order to theoretically describe this observation, we use the dynamic final state effect model<sup>16, 17</sup> that takes into account the Coulomb-interaction between the photoelectron and photohole with a finite lifetime during the photoemission process. In this model, the neutralization probability of the photohole during the time interval [t, t+dt] is given by  $P(t)dt = (1/\tau)\exp(-t/\tau)dt$ with the characteristic time  $\tau$ . This characteristic time  $\tau$ correspond to the finite lifetime determined by the nanoparticle-substrate coupling strength, and interpreted as a tunneling time in the case of a weak nanoparticle-substrate interaction. While remaining the photohole, the Coulomb interaction between the photoelectron and the photohole reduce the kinetic energy. The Coulomb potential acting on the photoelectron due to the photohole is given by  $W(r) = \alpha e^2 / 4 \pi \epsilon_0 (1/R - 1/r)$ , where r is the distance from the center of the nanoparticle. When the photohole neutralized after time t, the energy shift for the expressed with photoelectron is velocity of photoelectron v as  $W(R_N+vt)$ . The obtained spectra are averaged over a large number of photoelectrons at the different time t, therefore, this leads to a distribution of energy shift given by,

$$P(W)dW = \frac{C_{N}W_{mu}}{(W_{mu} - W)^{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{C_{N}W}{W_{max} - W}\right)dW \quad (1)$$

with  $C_N = R_N/vt$ . The photoemission spectrum in the vicinity of Fermi-level for nanoparticle with the radius of  $R_N$ ,  $S(E_B, R_N)$ , can be described by the convolution of Fermi-Dirac function at relevant temperature and the energy shift distribution function P(W) in Eq. (1). The experimental photoemission spectra  $I(E_B)$  include the instrumental width  $G(E_B)$  and the contribution from the uncovered region of the HOPG substrate  $B(E_B)$ . Furthermore, in order to more exactly describe the experimental spectrum, we considered the minor contribution from the imhomogeneous width due to the small size distribution. The experimental spectrum is given by,

$$I(E_{B}) \propto [S(E_{B}, R_{N}) \otimes D(R_{N})] \otimes G(E_{B}) + B(E_{B}) \qquad (2)$$

where  $D(R_N)$  is a Gaussian size distribution function determined from the TEM observation as shown in Fig. 1. Using Eq. (2), we have carried out a fit to the experimental spectra of the AT-passivated Au nanoparticles by the least squares method. Solid line in Fig. 2 shows the calculated spectrum by the dynamic final-state effect model. As shown in Fig. 2, the fitting results reproduce the experimental spectra of all AT-passivated samples fairly well. The obtained photohole lifetime t from the calculations are  $0.27 \times 10^{-15}$ ,  $0.32 \times 10^{-15}$ , and  $0.41 \times 10^{-15}$  sec for the surface passivants of OT, DT, and HDT, respectively. It is concluded that the observed spectral features for all the AT-passivated Au nanoparticles on the HOPG can be explained with the dynamic final-state effect model and reflect the



FIG. 3. A semilog plot of the tunneling time  $\tau$  as a function of the half of interparticle distance. Points and solid line show the tunneling time  $\tau$  and the fits to the tunneling time  $\tau$  by the least squares method. Error bars are standard deviation for the half of interparticle distance

nanoparticle-substrate interactions through the surface passivants on a femtosecond timescale. Furthermore, it is found that the obtained photohole lifetime  $\tau$  of each AT-passivated Au nanoparticle depends on the surface-passivants. The photohole lifetime  $\tau$  increase of the molecular length with increasing of surface-passivants. In this system, surface-passivants length that corresponds to the separation between the nanoparticles and substrate determine the coupling strength. The photoemission spectra reflect the coupling strength between the nanoparticles and substrate. Therefore, passivants dependent photoemission spectra reflect the coupling strength that depends on the nanoparticle-substrate interaction. In order to quantitatively analyze the passivant dependence of the lifetime  $\tau$ , we plot in Fig.3 the lifetime  $\tau$  as a function of the half of the mean interparticle distance determined from the TEM micrographs. The mean interparticle distance is determined from the interparticle distance distribution within the close-packed array regions, which is considered to be intrinsic interparticle separation Solid line is fitting line to the experimental data by the least squares method. This analysis shows that these lifetimes exponentially depend on the half of the interparticle distance between nanoparticle and the substrate. In other words, the lifetime  $\tau$  exponentially depends on the separation between the nanoparticles and substrate. On the other hand, the lifetimes  $\tau$  of the photohole directly correspond to the tunneling separation between the nanoparticles and substrate. On the other hand, the lifetimes  $\tau$  of the photohole directly correspond to the tunneling times through the surface-passivants. From the analogy of the single electron tunneling, these photohole lifetimes  $\tau$  can be estimated to  $\tau = RC$ , where R is the tunneling resistance between the nanoparticle and substrate through the passivants, and C is the self-capacitance of the nanoparticle. The mean diameters among the present nanoparticles are almost same, thus it is considered that the self-capacitance of each nanoparticle sample is almost same. Therefore, the passivant dependence of the tunneling time  $\tau$  is arised from the difference of the dependence of the tunneling resistance R between nanoparticles and substrate among the present samples. The tunneling resistance R is expressed as  $R \propto exp(\beta x)$ .  $\beta$  is the decay constant, x is correspond to the distance of between Au nanoparticles and substrate in this experiment. Thus, it is concluded that the dependence of the tunneling time  $\tau$  on the half of interparticle distance (as shown in Fig. 3) corresponds to the dependence of the tunneling resistance on the tunneling gap width. This result indicates that the photoemission spectrum of the AT-passivated Au nanoparticle supported on the HOPG substrate reflects the electron tunneling through the surface passivants. Through the observation of the final-state effect in the photoemission spectra, we provide the knowledge about electron transfer phenomenon the in the metal-molecule-metal.

### 4. CONCLUSION

In this contribution, we have investigated the passivant dependence of nanoparticle-substrate interaction on the series of chemically synthesized AT-passivated Au nanoparticles using the photoemission spectra in the vicinity of Fermi level. These spectra were reproduced with the dynamic final-state effect model taking into account the influence of the photohole remaining on the nanoparticle after the photoemission process. From the analysis, it is found that the obtained photohole lifetimes  $\tau$  exponentially depend on the molecule length of surface-passivants. It is concluded that the dependence of the tunneling time  $\tau$  on the half of interparticle distance correspond to the dependence of the tunneling resistance on the tunneling gap width.

### Acknowledgements

We thank H. Sasaki and Y. Takeda of Department of Physics, Tohoku University, and Dr. A. Yamaguchi of Department of Chemistry, Tohoku University for help in the experiments. This work has supported by grant from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology of Japan.

#### References

- C. A. Mirkin, R. L. Letsinger, R. C. Mucic, and J.J. Storhoff, *Nature* 382, 607 (1996).
- M. P. A. Viegers and J. M. Trooster, *Phys. Rev. B*, 15, 72-83 (1977)
- [3] T. Sato, H. Ahmed, D. Brown, and B. F. G. Johnson, J. Appl. Phys. 82, 696-701 (1996).
- [4] Richard M. Crooks, M. Zao, L. Sun, V. Chechik, and L. K. Yeung, Acc. Chem. Res. 34(3), 181 (2001).
- [5] D. Gerion, N. Zaitseva, and C. Saw M. F. Casula,
  S. Fakra, T. Van Buuren, and G. Galli, *Nano Lett.* 4(4), 597-602 (2004)
- [6] J. Zheng, C. Zhang, and Robert M. Dickson, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**, 077402 (2004).
- [7] Diana M. stefanescu, David S. Glueck, R. Siegel and Roderick E. Wasylishen, *Langmuir* 20, 10379-81 (2004).
- [8] Y. Kim, S. Oh and Richard M. Crooks, Chem. Mater. 16, 167-172 (2003)
- [9] A. Tanaka, Y. Takeda, T. Nagasawa, and S. Sato, *Phys. Rev. B* 67, 033101 (2003).
- [10] M. Brust, M. Walker, D. Bethell, D.J, Schiffrin, and R. Whyman, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1994, 801 (1994).
- [11] X. M. Lin, C. M. Sorensen, and K.J. Klabunde J. Nano. Res. 2, 157-164 (2000).
- [12] X. M. Lin, H.M. Jaeger, C. M. Sorensen, and K.J.Klabunde J. Phys. Chem. B 105, 3353-3357 (2001).
- [13] R. Kubo, A. Kawabata, and S. Kobayashi, Annu. Rec. Mater. Sci., 14, 49 (1984).
- [14] M. Seidl, K.-H. Meiwes-Broer, and M. Brack, J. Chem. Phys. 95, 1295-1303 (1991).
- [15] A. Tanaka, Y. Takeda, M. Imamura, and S. Sato, *Phys. Rev. B* 68, 195415 (2003).
- [16] H. Hovel, B. Grimm, M. Pollmann, and B. Reihl, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 81, 4608-4611 (1998).
- [17] H. Hovel, I. Barke, H.-G. Boyen, P. Ziemann, M. G. Garnier, and P. Oelhafen, *Phys. Rev. B* 70, 045424 (2004).

(Received December 24, 2004; Accepted December 24, 2004)